Mys y FAM

Memorandum

Date:

March 2, 2010

To:

GOLDEN GATE DIVISION

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Solano Area

File No.:

365.11759.11759

Subject:

2010 COMMAND INSPECTION - FIRST QUARTER HPM 22.1, CHAPTER 7,

COMMAND INJURY AND ILLNESS CASE MANAGEMENT.

Pursuant to the 2010-2012 California Highway Patrol audit plan the Solano Area has completed the first quarter inspection of the command injury and illness case management. The Solano Area was found to be in compliance in all facets of the inspection. Attached for your review are the CHP 680A and 680T.

If you have any questions regarding the audit please contact myself or Lieutenant Zachary Johnson at (707) 428-2100.

SUSAN WARD

Solano Area Commander

Attachments

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM FXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Solano Area	Golden Gate	7
Inspected by:	Date:	
Sgt. Melissa H	02/12/10	

. age 1 of 3

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall number of the inspection in the Chapte shall be routed to and its due date. Thi improvement, identified deficiencies, co	r Inspecti s docume	on number. Under "Forwa ent shall be utilized to doci	rd to:" enter the ne iment innovative pr	rill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter xt level of command where the document ractices, suggestions for statewide e used if additional space is required.		
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level X Command Level		Total hours expended inspection:	on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included		
Executive Office Level		2		☐ Attachments Included		
Follow-up Required:	Forward to:					
☐ Yes X No	Due D	ate:	te:			
Chapter Inspection:						
Inspector's Comments Rega	rding Ir	nnovative Practices:	Triy The state of the state of			
				n and content of the Injury Illness		
and Suggestions for S	tatewic	de Improvement				
ne.	1010111	io improvoment.	Ē			
The same of the sa						
Inspector's Findings: The Solano Area was found to	be in	compliance in all fa	cets of the inst	pection		
Commander's Response:	Concu	r or 🗌 Do Not Cond	cur (Do Not Cond	cur shall document basis for response)		
			(2.7			
			35			
'spector's Comments: Shall	address	non concurrence by co	mmander (e.g., fi	indings revised, findings unchanged,		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM FXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

. age 2 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Solano Area	Golden Gate	7
Inspected by:	Date:	
Sgt. Melissa H	02/12/10	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM FXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

. age 3 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Solano Area	Solano Area Golden Gate		
Inspected by:	Date:		
Sgt. Melissa Ho	02/12/10		

\$ 1-44 L C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		A TOTAL SERVICE	W 100 C		
Required Action	The second		. 7 - 23	- 7	
	- ************************************				
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline					

Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	500	3/2/10
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE MUNICIPAL AT A STATE OF THE STATE	DATE 03/02/2010
Reviewer discussed this report with employee Concur Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	4-13-2010

Page

1 of 3

JMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

Command: Solano Area	Division: Golden Gate	Numbe 365
Evaluated by: Sgt. M. H. Tatum		Date: 02/12/2010
Assisted by:		Date:

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. A "No," "N/A," or any other discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.						
☐ Div	ision Level >	Command Level ☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection	Lead Inspe	ector's Signati	1 /	Vatien
Fo	llow-up Required: Yes X No	☐ Follow-up Inspection	Command	er's Signature		Date:
For applicable policy, refer to: HPM 10.7						
ivote:		checked, the "Remarks" se	ction shal	l be utilize	d for exp	lanation.
1.	Has the command posted Notice to State Employee	the required STD e13708, s, in a prominent place?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
2.	Has the command posted Protection on the job notice	a Safety and Health	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
3.		a Cal-OSHA S-11 notice in	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
4.	Has the commander prepared Memorandum for distribut expressing their desire to resume normal duty, outlineand employee rights and resume normal duty.	ion to injured employees assist the employee ning departmental policy,	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
5.	Does the command maint Injury and Illness Status R	ain a current CHP 121D,	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
6.	Has the command provide Comm-Net to the appropr regarding employees who occupational injury or illne more?	ed required notification via iate next level of command are off duty as a result of ss for 30 calendar days or	X Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
7.	Does the command mainta	ain a current OSHA 300?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
8.	Is the OSHA 300 log secu confidentiality and has it b based on employee's heal	een regularly updated	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

JMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

9.	Have injury and illnesses been recorded in the OSHA 300 log within six workdays of the specific occurrence?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	. Has a Cal-OSHA number been assigned to every injury and illness which is recorded in the OSHA 300 log?	X Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Has the command forwarded the previous year's OSHA 300 log to their respective Division (January 15 th for Areas, communication centers, inspection facilities, and Academy or February 15 th for Divisions and Headquarters commands)?	X Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Was/or is the OSHA 300A (Summary of Work Related Injury and Illnesses) posted in a prominent place from February 1 st of the following year until April 30 th ?	X Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Does the command maintain a current five year record of the OSHA 300 log which is current?	X Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Does the command maintain a current five year record of CHP 121s which is current?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15.	Have CHP 121s been completed by a supervisor within 24 hours of the notification of the alleged injury or illness?	X Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Has the commander or his/her designee signed all CHP 121s within three days of notification of the injury or illness?	X Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Have all CHP 121s been filed with the State Compensation Insurance Fund (SCIF) within five days of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Have all CHP 121As been completed within 24 hours of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Have all CHP 121As been signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Have all CHP 121Bs been completed and signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	X Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
21.	Have all CHP 121Cs been completed and signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
---PARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

JMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

22. Have all CHP 442s been updated a three days of notification of an empl illness, or hazardous exposure?	loyee injury, X	Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
23. Have supervisors (if applicable) proto to the employees' medical care prov	viders? X	Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
24. Have supervisors provided CHP 60 within 24 hours of the notification of or hazardous exposure?	an injury, illness, X	Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
25. Have supervisors provided CHP 60 within 24 hours of the notification of or hazardous exposure?	an injury, illness, X	Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
26. Have supervisors provided SCIF 33 within 24 hours of the notification of or hazardous exposure?	an injury, illness, X	Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
27. Has the command prepared and po the memorandum advising employe command's authorized medical prov facilities	es of the X /iders and/or	Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
28. Has the command sent Comm-Net Office of Risk Management (ORM) I Retirement Unit (DRU) within 24 hor notification of a nondisabling or disa occupational injury or illness?	Disability and Xurs of the	Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
 Does the command have copies of medical care providers posted for er 		Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
30. Have all employees who voluntarily the Annual Fitness Challenge components prescreening questionnaire?	leted a medical] Yes	☐ No	X N/A	Remarks:No Solano Area employee participated in 2009
31. Has the command provided an Opti applicable) to an employee who has determined to be permanently precli returning to their regular job duties?	been medically] Yes	□ No	X N/A	Remarks: Did not apply to Solano Area in 2009
32. In the event of an employee's serior was the appropriate assistant commodesignee contacted immediately?] Yes	□No	X N/A	Remarks: No serious injury or death to employee in 2009
33. In the event of an employee's seriou were the Division of Occupational Sa (DOSH) and the Office of Risk Mana contacted by telephone within eight	afety and Health] Yes	□ No	X N/A	Remarks: No serious injury or death to employee in 2009